

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

OCT 27 2009

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

HUGO COLLAZOS-MUNOZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 06-30290 & 06-30294

D.C. Nos. CR-00-00054-RHW  
CR-00-00058-RHW

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Washington  
Robert H. Whaley, Senior District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 13, 2009<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: B. FLETCHER, LEAVY, and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated appeals, Hugo Collazos-Munoz appeals from the amended judgments modifying his restitution amounts. We have jurisdiction

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we remand to correct the judgments.

Collazos-Munoz contends that the district court erred by aggregating the total amount of restitution with regard to his two conspiracy convictions, thereby obligating him to pay more than he actually owes. We are unable to tell from the judgments how much restitution is attributable to each case. We remand with instructions to amend the judgments to list the specific amount of restitution owed in each case. *See United States v. Doe*, 374 F.3d 851, 854 (9th Cir. 2004). The district court may, in its discretion, choose to eliminate the restitution in its case number CR-00-00105-RHW.

**REMANDED.**